

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/956,897	,	09/21/2001	Young-Jun Kwon	P67158US0	2416
136	7590	03/26/2004		EXAMINER	
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC				SPISICH, MARK	
400 SEV SUITE 6		REET N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHI	NGTON,	ON, DC 20004		1744	•
				DATE MAILED: 03/26/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner			5 ₀	1				
Examiner Mark Spisich 1744 17	* V	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Mark Spisich 1744 Arich MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) eays, a reply within the statiotry minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered finely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) eays, a reply within the statiotry minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered finely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) eays, a reply within the statiotry minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered finely. If the period for reply specified above is less than there months after the malling date of this communication, and the station of the malling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any came a parent management. Status 1 Status 1 Responsive to communication (s) filed on 21 January 2004. 2 Claim (s) If a station is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim (s) If a star end period in the application. 4 Claim (s) If a star end period in the application. 4 Claim (s) If a star end period period in the day of the station of the s		09/956,897	KWON, YOUNG-JUN					
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of this may be a validate under the previous of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In cerent, however, may a reply be timely filled shall be communication. Extension of this may be a validation of the communication of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled shall be communication. Extension of time may be a validation of the communication of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled shall be communication. Extension of time may be a validation of the communication of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In order to reply within the satisfaction must be used to extend the shall be communication. Fallow to reply shall be act or extended period for reply will, by stability, cause the application to become ARAHDONED (25 U.S.C. § 133). Alter previous parent time dejourners. Set 3° CFR 1.72(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 21 January 2004. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on 21 January 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on 21 January 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filled on 21 January 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the processors of 32 CFR 1.33(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Extensions of time may be available under the processors of 32 CFR 1.33(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Extensions of time may be available under the processors of 32 CFR 1.33(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Extensions of time may be available under the processors of 32 CFR 1.33(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Extensions of time may be available under the processors of 32 CFR 1.33(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - If NO period for reply a specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$10,000 APT KIS from the mailing date of this communication. Processors of the processors of the processors of the mailing date of this communication. Processors of the processors of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any secure any		·						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(a). In severet, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of fine communication. **To eperiod craps) specified below is less than they (70) days, a reply within the substancy maintaining (1) and they contained timely. **Fallula to reply within the set or extended principle for reply will by stability causes the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 13.3). Any reply receded by the Office after than three morifies that the the morified set the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any caused patent term adjustment. Set 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 21 January 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 21 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) Mone of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Applic		ppears on the cover sheet (with the correspondence address					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 January 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The graving(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.81(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail		a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The pecification is objected to by the Examiner. 4Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The path or declaration is objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status							
4a) □ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 4) □ Interview Summary (PTO-113) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10 □ Interview Summary (PTO-14	Disposition of Claims							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Auttachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	awn from consideration.		-				
10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) b) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	Application Papers		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ e drawing(s) be held in abey ection is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	t received.					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		The second secon						

Application/Control Number: 09/956,897

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings were received on 21 January 204. These drawings are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baumbartner (USP 2,558,334) in view of Cansler (USP 5,786,087). The patent to Baumgartner discloses a brush comprising a plurality of synthetic bristles (11) "each having a diameter of the order of four-thousandths of an inch and having pointed ends with a taper of approximately 15 to 1" (column 1, line 54 thru column 2, line 2 and fig 5) and having a length of about 9/16 inch (column 3, lines 12-15). Although a longer taper is preferred, Baumgartner also discloses that the length of the taper of 8 to 1 have been found satisfactory for a lacquer brush (column 3, lines 70-72). With a "taper length" as defined by Baumgartner (column 3, lines 67-70), (15 times as great as the diameter of the bristles), the taper would start **about 1.5mm** (claims 1 and 3) from the end thereof (15x.004x25.4). As Baumgartner states that the bristles (11) taper to a point, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have made the tip as narrow as claimed (.02mm in claim 1 and .01mm in claim 2). The recitation of "toothbrush" fails to define over the structure of the prior art and pertains only to the intended use thereof. The

Art Unit: 1744

patent to Baumgartner discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the recited materials, although it suggests that various synthetic materials could be used (column 3, lines 18-24). The patent to Cansler discloses the use of PBT as a bristle material (column 4, lines 39) in a brush of similar utility as Baumgartner and which also suggests the equivalence of nylon and PBT. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have modified the bristles of Baumgartner as such since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 21 January 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's first (as well as the last) comment pertains to the fact that the present invention is related to toothbrushes. The prior art, namely Baumgartner (USP 2,558,334), discloses a brush provided with a plurality of tapered bristles (21). The recitation of "toothbrush" in the preamble relates merely to a future intended use and fails to structurally define the claim(s) over that of the prior art. With a bristle diameter of .004 inch (column 3, line 14) and a taper length of 15 to 1 (15 times the diameter of the bristles as defined at column 3, line 67-70), the length of the taper (of the bristles shown in fig 5) would be approximately 1.5 mm (15x.004x25.4). Claim 1 recites that the taper starts at a position of 3.5 mm or less from an end thereof and claim 3 further states that the taper starts 3.0 mm or less from the end of the bristle. A taper length of 1.55 mm (which is less than 3.0 mm) as taught by Baugartner meets this

Application/Control Number: 09/956,897 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

limitation. Applicant, in the "remarks", mentions the diameter of the bristles of the present invention; however, it is noted that the claim(s) do not specify any particular diameter. Applicant also compares the prior art taper length of 0.1 to 1.5 mm from the ends thereof to a length of 2.8-3.5 mm in the present invention. Applicant's attention is drawn to claims 1 and 3, which recite that the taper begins 3.5 (and 3.0 in claim 3) mm **OR LESS** from the end of the bristles. The claims never include a mention of the particular range (2.8 to 3.5 mm) mentioned in the "remarks". The patent to Baumgartner recites that the bristles "taper to a point" (column 3, lines 69-70) and as such would reasonably suggest to one of ordinary skill that the diameter at the free ends of bristles is negligible and that the free end diameters of claims 1 and 2 are at least obvious variants of the basic teaching of Barumgartner. The patent to Cansler (USP 5,786,087) has been used to provide a teaching of using PBT as a bristle material (column 4, line 39) in a brush of similar utility as Baumgartner and further in that it suggests the equivalence of nylon (which is the preferred material of Baumgartner) and PBT. The patent to Cansler is relied on solely for a teaching of the use of the claimed bristle material and the prior art provides motivation to combine the teachings of Baumgartner and Cansler (see column 3, lines 18-24 or Baumgartner and column 4, lines 35-40 of Cansler).

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1744

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6-3:30), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

> Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744